Aseismic strike slip associated with the 2007 dike intrusion episode in Tanzania
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Abstract

In July 2007, an earthquake swarm initiated in northern Tanzania near Lake Natron and lasted for about two months. Mt. Oldoinyo Lengai, located to the southwest of the swarm, began to erupt effusively about a month prior to the swarm, and increased its eruption intensity on September when the swarm almost ceased. Several previous studies have already reported the crustal deformation signals associated with the swarm using Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (InSAR). However, nearly all the published data are based on the C-band ENVISAT/ASAR images acquired only from the descending path. We use the L-band ALOS/PALSAR images acquired from both ascending and descending paths, which allow us to examine the deformation signals in more detail. In addition to the InSAR data, we employ the offset-tracking technique to detect the signals along the azimuth direction. Using InSAR and offset-tracking data, we obtain the full 3D displacement fields associated with the episode. Besides the horizontal extension and subsidence signals due to the dike intrusion as already reported, the inferred full 3D displacements further indicate that the subsiding zone was horizontally moving by ~48 cm toward SSW. To explain the displacements, we performed fault source modeling, assuming an elastic half space. The fault slip distribution indicates that the contribution of the strike slip component is about 20 % of
total moment release. Because almost all the focal mechanisms of earthquakes during
the 2007 event indicate nearly pure normal faulting, aseismic strike-slip must have been
responsible for the horizontal movement of the subsiding zone. The strike-slip at the
shallowest depths suggests the presence of transtensive stress, which seems to be
reasonable to generate the relay zones that are widely observed in the East African Rift.
We also confirmed that the stress changes due to the dike intrusion were consistent with
the inferred fault slip distributions.
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1. **Introduction**

The East African Rift valley (EAR) is a divergent plate boundary between Nubian
plate and Somalian plate that extends the length of ~5000 km-long from Ethiopia to
Mozambique (e.g., McKenzie et al., 1970; Ebinger, 1989). The EAR contains numerous
normal faults and Quaternary active volcanoes along the rift (e.g., Hamling et al., 2009;
Paquet et al., 2007). Also, moderate-sized earthquakes frequently occur along the plate boundary (e.g., Chorowicz, 2005).

Northern Tanzania is located in the middle of the EAR, and the relative opening rate is 2-4 mm/yr along the E-W direction according to the GPS measurement (Stamps et al., 2008; Saria et al., 2014).

The 2007 swarm near the Lake Natron started on July 12 (e.g., Calais et al., 2008). The largest earthquake with moment magnitude (Mw) 5.9 occurred on July 17, and the swarm activity continued until the middle of September 2007 (Fig. 1). The campaign-based local seismic network revealed the details of spatial-temporal distribution of epicenters, indicating a migration of earthquake swarm from a deeper depth to the SW toward a shallower depth in the NE (Albaric et al., 2010). Albaric et al. (2010) and Global CMT catalogue (http://www.globalcmt.org) reported that eight earthquakes with magnitude larger than 5 occurred during the event, all of which indicated ENE-WSW striking normal fault at depths shallower than 20 km. A swarm of more 1400 earthquakes was recorded for 180 days by a campaign seismic network since June 1 (Albaric et al., 2010).

Earthquake swarms are often accompanied with magma intrusion (e.g., Aoki et al., 1999). Mt. Oldoinyo Lengai located about 20 km to the SW from the swarm, is one of
the most active volcanoes along the EAR. In 2007, an effusive eruption started one month prior to the swarm activity for the first time in 24 years. The eruptive activity of Mt. Oldoinyo Lengai abruptly switched to episodic explosive eruptions when the swarm activity was decaying in September and continued until April 2008.

Several previous studies have already reported the crustal deformation signals associated with the swarm by using satellite synthetic aperture radar (SAR) data (Baer et al., 2008; Calais et al., 2008; Biggs et al., 2009). Calais et al. (2008) pointed out the presence of aseismic slow slip besides the dike intrusion, and examined the details of the dike intrusion processes using the high temporal resolution SAR data. Baer et al. (2008) examined the Coulomb stress changes associated with the event to study the interaction of each fault source. Biggs et al. (2009) studied the relationship between the length of dike and the size of magma chamber compared with those in Afar and Iceland events. In regards to the relationship between the Oldoinyo Lengai eruption and the swarm, Baer et al. (2008) considered that the passage of the seismic-wave through the magma chamber could dynamically trigger the eruption, whereas Biggs et al. (2009) reported that there was no relationship between the eruption and the swarm due to the ground deformation spanning 2001-2004 implies no significant pressure changes in magma chamber. Thus, the interrelation between the eruption and the event is still
The objectives of this paper are to report the three-dimensional (3D) displacement fields that have never been reported by the previous studies and to show our source modeling results. This was made possible by a couple of reasons. Firstly, in contrast to the previous studies, we used L-band ALOS/PALSAR images acquired from both ascending and descending tracks. The L-band SAR is more advantageous in terms of the easiness of phase unwrapping even the areas with large phase gradient. Secondly, we apply both InSAR and offset-tracking methods that can reveal the full 3D displacements. Based on the inferred fault slip model, we also discuss the implications for the regional stress field and their possible role for the generation of fault segmentation along the rift axes. Moreover, we point out the possibility of the aseismic slip as the driver of earthquake swarm.

2. Data processing and SAR observation

Satellite-based SAR is helpful to obtain ground surface deformation signals with unprecedented spatial resolution over wide areas (e.g., Massonnet and Feigl, 1998; Hanssen et al., 2001). We detected the deformation signals associated with the 2007 event using both ascending and descending ALOS/PALSAR (L-band, 23.6 cm wave length).
length) images (Table 1), providing us with the range changes along the radar line of
sight (LOS) from two independent directions.

We also applied the offset-tracking method to derive the displacements projected
along the satellite flight direction. We could thus derive the 3D displacements associated
with the entire episode. The off-nadir angles are 34.3° for both tracks. The ascending
data set (7 July 2007 to 7 October 2007) and the descending data set (5 June 2007 to 13
June 2010) cover the entire swarm period (Fig. 1). While the temporal coverage of the
descending InSAR image is much longer than that of the ascending InSAR image,
because no descending images were acquired between July 2007 and June 2010, and the
descending InSAR could include post-seismic deformation signals. However we
confirmed insignificant deformation signals after October 2007 from the ascending
post-seismic interferogram (7 October 2007 to 15 July 2010) that revealed very little
deformation (Fig. S2). To remove the topographic fringes in InSAR data, we used the 3
arc-second (90 m) Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) digital elevation model
(DEM) (Farr et al., 2007). SAR data were processed by GAMMA software (Wegmüller
and Werner, 1997). Although we corrected for the orbital and topographic fringes with
the use of precision orbit data by JAXA and SRTM DEM, respectively, there still
remain long-wavelength phase trends and topography-correlated fringes. The effects of
long-wavelength trend and topographic-correlated atmospheric delay in the interferograms were removed, by fitting low-order polynomials and DEM, respectively. For phase unwrapping, we used the branch-cut algorithm (Goldstein et al., 1988). While the unwrapping error would appear at different locations in the ascending and descending data, we confirmed that the phase discontinuities were observed at the same locations, suggesting that they are real deformation signals.

The observed InSAR data for both ascending and descending tracks are shown in Figs. 2a and 2d. They are resampled with the quad-tree algorithm to effectively reduce the number of data points from ~25000 to ~4000 (Jónnson et al., 2002). The two interferograms indicate two clear phase discontinuities around the center of the graben that strike NE-SW (36.10E, 2.66S – 36.01E, 2.77S) and NNW-SSE (36.05E, 2.63S – 36.05E, 2.71S). We also identify another phase discontinuity to the NE that is particularly clear in Fig. 2a. The area between the two discontinuities indicates an increase in the radar line of sight (LOS) in both ascending (Fig 2a) and descending data (Fig. 2d), suggesting that the area has subsided. In contrast, outside this region the signal in the ascending and descending data is opposite, suggesting E-W motion (Figs. 2a and 2d). We can thus roughly interpret that the LOS-increasing area was subsiding, and that the outer eastern and western areas were moving to the east and west,
respectively. The interferograms indicate that the subsidence area covers 3-4 km width and 13-15 km length. The maximum positive range changes are 46 and 63 cm in ascending and descending data, respectively. Overall, the spatial pattern of the deformation signals looks like a graben structure, which has been reported at other rifting episodes such as the 2009 western Arabia, the 2007 Dallol, and the 1998 Réunion island (Bear and Hamiel, 2010; Nobile et al., 2012; Fukushima et al., 2010). As shown below, however, the azimuth offset data exhibit some unexpected signals.

Azimuth offset data derived from the ascending path similarly indicates two discontinuities (Fig. 3a) that are compatible with the expanding areas with E-W motion in the interferograms (Figs. 2a and 2d). The most remarkable point is that the subsiding zone indicates ~40 cm negative offset, which indicates that the area has moved opposite to the satellite flight direction. Namely, the central subsiding region was not only subsiding but also moving horizontally, because the azimuth offsets have no sensitivities to the vertical displacements. Although the azimuth offset derived from the descending pair is much noisier due to the lower-coherence, the data set also exhibits small horizontal displacements that are nearly in parallel with the satellite flight direction (Fig. S1). The azimuth offset data from both ascending and descending tracks thus unambiguously indicate horizontal displacements of a subsiding graben structure. Biggs
et al. (2009) showed a result from multiple aperture InSAR (MAI) measurement
(Bechor and Zebker 2006), which are quite consistent with Fig 3a in terms of both the
signal amplitude and the deforming area. Nevertheless, they dismissed the MAI
observation in their analyses, probably because there were no other independent data
that could support the signal.

We calculated 3D displacements from the ascending and descending interferograms
and the azimuth offset (Fig. 5a) (Fialko et al., 2001). The orange lines in Fig. 4 show the
locations for the top edges of our fault source models shown below. The interval of the
observation point is 2×2 km. The lack of the points around the summit of Mt. Gelai is
because the azimuth offsets are noisier than InSAR data and thus such area are masked.

The horizontal displacements in Fig. 5a indicate that the western and the eastern half of
the areas moved up to ~35 cm toward W-WSW and ~51 cm toward E-NE, respectively.
The subsidence zone moved toward SSW direction with a maximum horizontal
displacement of ~48 cm. The vertical displacements are shown in Fig. 5b, which
indicates that the eastern half was uplifting and the center area was subsiding by ~62 cm.
In contrast, the western half was uplifting by only a few centimeters.
3. The elastic dislocation source modeling

Static ground displacements associated with earthquakes and/or dike intrusion episodes are often interpreted by using analytical solutions due to planar rectangular dislocation elements in elastic half-space (Okada, 1985). In this study, we estimate non-planar fault planes based on the analytical solutions due to triangular dislocation elements because the observations reveal complex deformation signals. The triangular dislocation elements are advantageous because it can represent non-planar fault planes without making unrealistic overlaps or gaps (Maerten et al., 2005; Furuya and Yasuda, 2011). To calculate the ground deformation due to the triangular dislocation elements, we use the MATLAB script that is made available by Meade (2007). To generate the mesh coordinates for the non-planar planes, we used Gmsh software (Geuzaine and Remacle, 2009).

Almost all the focal mechanisms of the earthquakes during the event show normal faulting. We set a west-dipping and an east-dipping fault whose top edges can match the locations off the phase discontinuities in the interferograms (Fig.4, 6); the other parameters for the fault geometry, the bottom location and depth, were estimated by trial-and-errors (e.g., Furuya and Yasuda, 2011). We set another west-dipping fault at the eastern flank of Mt. Gelai (Figs. 6e-f), because the two interferograms indicate a phase...
discontinuity at the same location. The deformation pattern is consistent with a dike intrusion and can be modeled as a tensile opening dislocation source. We set a vertical tensile dislocation source as the dike segment, whose horizontal location is the center of the subsidence zone. Without dike opening, we could have only reproduced the subsidence zone with smaller E-W extension displacement. The final position of the dike segment was set where the RMS misfit was minimum. We thus estimated three faults and one dike segment to explain the crustal deformation (Fig. 5). Our model is similar to the geometry of Bear et al. (2008), regarding an east-dipping fault, two west-dipping faults and a dike segment. After setting the location and geometry of the fault sources and dike, we performed a linear least squares inversion to derive the spatially variable slip and opening on the fault and dike, inverting jointly the ascending and descending interferograms. Here we do not invert for the azimuth offset data, and instead we check the consistency a posteriori as argued below. In solving the least squares problem, we applied a “non-negativity” constraint. Namely, in order to derive physically plausible slip distributions, we prescribed that the east-dipping and west-dipping fault are allowed to slip right-laterally and left-laterally, respectively, and that the dip slip on each segment has only normal slip component. The dike segment is allowed to have only pure opening. Moreover, we apply a smoothing constraint on the
slip distribution by using an umbrella operator that is equivalent to the Laplacian operator for the rectangular dislocation elements (Maerten et al. 2005). The moment release from the fault slip distribution can be calculated, assuming Poisson ratio of 0.25 and shear modulus of 30 GPa.

The optimum fault slip and dike opening distribution on the each segment are shown in Figs. 6. Each fault slip distribution has maximum amplitude around the depth of ~5 km with their amplitudes up to 60 cm in the strike slip and 160 cm in the dip slip. As expected from the Global CMT solutions that indicate predominantly normal faulting, the normal slip is much larger than the strike slip (Figs. 6b, d). However, the inferred strike slip component is unexpectedly larger than that inferred by seismology (Figs. 6a, c). The calculated geodetic moment for the dip slip component is $3.3 \times 10^{18}$ Nm, and that for the strike slip component is $8.1 \times 10^{17}$ Nm. Thus, the contribution of the strike slip is 19.9 %, and the equivalent moment magnitude for the dip slip and strike slip is Mw 6.28 and 5.87, respectively. Because the cumulative seismic moment is $2.2 \times 10^{18}$ Nm according to the Global CMT, it turns out that about 56 % of the geodetic moment were released aseismically. The moment for the strike slip must be responsible for the southward movement of the subsidence zone. The dike segment exhibits up to 220 cm opening at the depth of 2-4 km (Fig. 6g), and the volume of intrusion is 0.036 km$^3$. 
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While we may include a Mogi-type deflation source to account for the source of the intruded dike, we cannot identify any circular signals in the observed InSAR data that allows us to constrain such a source. Although we do not discard the presence of a deflation source, we consider that the depth of the Mogi source, if any, would be deeper than 3 km, because otherwise there would arise circular fringes; we then assumed that the Mogi source has the same volume changes as those of intruded dike. Moreover, even if we include the deflation source at the center of the largest displacement field, the E-W trending sharp offset at northern and southern edge of the negative signal will never be generated.

Based on the inferred fault slip and dike opening distribution, we computed the ground deformation (Figs. 2b, e) and misfit residual (Figs. 2c, f). RMS residual values in our model are 3.0 cm in the ascending and 6.3 cm in the descending data. Although there still remain some residuals in the eastern half of the descending data, the calculated deformation well reproduces the observations, and the misfit residuals cannot be distinguished from measurement errors that would be empirically less than 5 cm for a single interferogram. Also, we calculated the displacements for the azimuth offset, which also reproduces the characteristic offsets in the subsidence zone (Fig. 3).

Without the strike slip component, we could not reproduce the large azimuth offset
signals in the subsidence zone. Thus we conclude that the strike slip is necessary to explain the observation results, and it occurred aseismically because almost all the Global CMT solution exhibits the normal-dip slip.

4. Discussion

To our knowledge, not only the previous studies of the 2007 Lake Natron event, but also many studies of dike intrusion events in rifts settings elsewhere assume normal slip and dike opening in their fault source modeling (e.g., Wright et al., 2006; Nobile et al., 2012; Baer and Hamiel, 2010; Hamling et al., 2014). Focal mechanisms of earthquakes during the 2007 event indeed indicate few strike slip components. In contrast, the fault source model we estimated in this study includes significant strike components, without which we cannot reproduce the InSAR and azimuth offset observations. Considering the few strike slip in the focal mechanisms, it turns out that aseismic strike components is required to explain the ground deformation associated with the 2007 Natron event. Why then did the aseismic strike slip occur at the area that is supposed to extend in the E-W direction? Why did the subsiding area move toward the south that is orthogonal to the rift axis?
In order to examine if the stress changes due to the dike intrusion promoted the fault slips, we computed the Coulomb stress changes ($\Delta CFF$), based on our inferred fault models (e.g., King et al., 1994; Toda et al., 2002). In the calculations of the $\Delta CFF$, we use a shear modulus of 30 GPa and a friction coefficient of 0.4. Fig. 7 illustrates the distribution of the $\Delta CFF$ by the dike opening for each receiver fault at depths from the surface to 6 km. We observe positive values of ~0.05 MPa at shallower depths, suggesting unclamping, mainly distributed along the dike axis (Figs. 7a-f). In the meantime, at the depths of 2-4 km at which we inferred the peak values of dike opening, the negative $\Delta CFF$ (clamping) are widely distributed (Figs. 7j-r). Our inferred fault models indicate significant slip distributions mostly at shallower depths, and thus are mechanically consistent with the $\Delta CFF$.

In the above $\Delta CFF$ modeling, however, we do not consider the background tectonic stress fields. The two west-dipping faults are forming en-echelon structure, and the strike components are much larger than the normal slip at the shallower depth of the west-dipping fault to the northeastern end. There are many normal fault systems in the EAR, which are forming relay zones and segmented structures (Ebinger, 1989; Moustafa, 2002; Tesfaye et al., 2008). Relay zone is a geological structure formed by multiple overlapping, en-echelon fault segments and develops under a transtensive
stress field instead of pure extension (Crider and Pollard, 1998).

The background stress field around the Lake Natron has been estimated from the focal mechanisms of past earthquakes (Delvaux and Barth, 2010; The World Stress Map available online at http://www.world-stress-map.org). Those studies indicate a pure extension stress regime toward NNW-SSE around the Lake Natron. The NNW-SSE axis is consistent with the orthogonal direction of the main shock strike direction during the 2007 event. Moreover, Delvaux and Barth (2010) and World Stress Map indicate ENE-WSW pure extensive stress field in the Lake Manyara region, which is located 50 km to the south from the 2007 Natron rifting event area.

The stress field estimated from seismological studies, however, indicates seismotectonic stresses, and the stress field can change at the other depths than the depth of the employed hypocenters. It is likely that the existence of a microplate or magma intrusion can build up a three-dimensionally complicated stress field. As shown in the slip distribution in Fig. 6, the strike slip is dominating at the shallower depth, suggesting the transcurrent stress regime. Meanwhile, it is well-known that the shallowest zone of the crust exhibits a velocity-strengthening tendency in the friction parameters of the rate-and-state dependent friction law, meaning the absence of seismic slip and the presence of aseismic slip (Scholtz 1998). In other words, it should be noted
that we cannot infer a true stress regime at the shallowest depths from seismological
studies, no matter what type of stress fields are dominant. Thus we may claim that this
study is the first to have confirmed the transtensive stress regime at shallower depth
around the Lake Natron by the detection of the aseismic strike slip based on the InSAR
data. Although Wright et al. (2006) suggested that dike intrusion is essential to form the
along-axis segmentation, and we do not dispute its importance, our detection of
significant strike slip at shallow depth is a direct evidence for the presence of
transtensive stress that is necessary to generate the along-axis segmentation like relay
zone (transfer zone). We consider that the dike intrusion could contribute to generate the
three-dimensionally complex stress distributions. Since our dike opening model sets a
kinematic displacement boundary condition instead of stress boundary condition, we
should note that the stress axis around the study area does not have to coincide with the
dike opening direction.

The relay ramp is known to form between antithetic normal faults like graben structure
(Tesfaye et al., 2008; Amer et al., 2012). As the relay ramp develops, a fracture can be
built up along the direction orthogonal to the fault strike direction, generating new
normal faults as transfer faults (Xu et al., 2011; Commins et al., 2005). Such fractures
are also observed at the Northern Lake Rukwa (Chorowitz, 2005). While we do not
include it in the source model of this study, we can identify such discontinuities in the azimuth offset observation as indicated by the red dashed line (Fig. 3). We consider that those discontinuities would also be the evidence for the horizontal motion of the subsiding region.

The observed aseismic slip may also have an important implication for the generation mechanism of earthquake swarms (e.g., Lohman and McGuire, 2007; Takada and Furuya, 2010; Wicks et al., 2011). Earthquake swarms are often attributed to fluid or magma intrusion, and it is apparent that such an intrusion occurred during our recording period. However, besides the dike intrusion process, aseismic slip has also been proposed as another possible driver of swarm generation. We may regard the detected aseismic strike slip as another piece of evidence for the proposed swarm mechanism.

5. **Conclusion**

Using ALOS/PALSAR data, we have detected the ground displacements associated with the 2007 northern Tanzanian earthquake swarm episode near the Lake Natron. In addition to the two-pass InSAR data from both ascending and descending paths, we derived azimuth offset data that are sensitive to the displacements parallel to the satellite flight direction. We could thus demonstrate the 3D displacement fields. Besides the
graben-like structure already pointed out in the previous studies, the 3D displacement fields indicate that the subsidence zone moved toward SSW.

Our fault source model consists of one tensile-opening fault, one east-dipping fault, and two west-dipping faults. One notable difference of our fault model from previous studies is the presence of strike slip component that turns out to contribute to approximately 20% of the whole moment release. Because the focal mechanisms of the earthquakes during the 2007 swarm event represent nearly pure normal faulting, we consider that aseismic strike slip on the faults are responsible for the horizontal movement of the subsidence zone.
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Table 1

The ALOS/PALSAR data sets in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pair No.</th>
<th>Data/yyyy/mm/dd</th>
<th>Orbit</th>
<th>Path</th>
<th>Frame</th>
<th>Bperp [m]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20070707-20071007</td>
<td>Ascending</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>7130</td>
<td>-249.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20070605-20100613</td>
<td>Descending</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>3670-3680</td>
<td>-396.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Our study area is located to the south of Lake Natron, Northern Tanzania, near the Kenyan border (upper-right map). Yellow circles and beach balls show the earthquake epicenters and focal mechanisms, respectively, during the 2007 episode (M>5, source Global CMT catalogue), and the largest beach ball indicates the main
shock of focal mechanism (M5.9). Red and blue rectangles mark the imaged area by ALOS/PALSAR (c.f. Table 1).

Fig. 2. (a, d) Observed unwrapped interferograms covering the 2007 event derived from (a) ascending and (b) descending track. (b, e) Calculated interferograms from the inferred fault slip distribution in Fig. 6 for (b) ascending and (e) descending. (c, f) Misfit residuals between the observed and calculated for (c) ascending and (f) descending. The unit vector of line of sight is $(e_x, e_y, e_z) = (0.613, 0.131, -0.779)$ for ascending and $(e_x, e_y, e_z) = (-0.612, 0.131, -0.780)$ for descending, respectively. Black dot lines indicate the
top of the faults. Two arrows in each figure indicate the satellite flight direction and beam radiation direction.

Fig. 3. (a) Observed azimuth offset derived from the ascending pair. (b) Calculated azimuth offset from the estimated slip distributions in the estimated from fault source modeling. Black dashed lines mark the top of the two facing faults. The two phase discontinuities along the direction orthogonal to the fault strike as indicated by the red dashed lines. The arrow in the top left indicates the flight direction of the satellite, and the unit vector is \((e_x, e_y, e_z) = (-0.209, 0.978, 0)\).
**Fig. 4.** Surface projection and 3D display of the fault model geometry. (Left) Solid lines mark the top edge of the faults, and the dashed lines indicate the side and bottom edges of the faults. Red indicates the location of the dike segment. (Right) Blue segments indicate east-dipping faults, green segment is east-dipping fault, and red segment is dike. The inferred slip distributions are shown in Figs. 6.
**Fig. 5.** Inferred 3D displacement using both ascending, descending interferograms and the azimuth offset tracking in the azimuth direction. (a) Horizontal displacements. (b) Vertical displacements. Orange lines in each figure follow the top of the confronted faults.
Fig. 6. Estimated slip distribution for each segments. See the texts for details. (a, b) Slip distributions were shown on the east-dipping fault. (c, d) West-dipping fault 1 is one of the confronted faults. (e, f) West-dipping fault 2 located at the eastern flank of Mt. Gelai. Slip direction are shown as vector in the figures. (g) Dike was imposed pure opening. The arrows indicate slip directions of each the faults. The unit of dislocation or
opening is indicated with meter scale. The rake angle for each angles are -77.6, -100.1, -167.6 degrees measures clockwise from the north in the east-dipping, west-dipping 1, west-dipping 2 fault, respectively.

Fig. 7. Coulomb stress changes for the receiver faults (black lines) due to the dike
opening as the source fault (red line). The top edges of the receiver faults are shown as black lines (Left column: East-dipping fault, Center: West-dipping fault, Right: Shallow west-dipping fault). Stress changes indicate positive (unclamping) the near surface, and the most negative (clamping) at the depth of maximum dike opening around 2-3 km.

Fig. S1. The observed azimuth offset derived from the ascending (left) and descending data (right) respectively; the left one is the same as Fig 3a. Arrows show the satellite flight directions, and the azimuth offsets are sensitive to such displacements that are parallel to the flight direction. Incoherent area is masked. Black dots lines indicate the top location of the confronted faults (see section 3).
Fig. S2. The post-rifting ascending interferogram spanning 7 Oct. 2007 - 15 Jul. 2010.

Red triangle indicates the location of Mt. Gelai and top-left noisy area is Lake Natron and bottom-left signal shows deformation associated with the eruption of Mt. Oldoinyo Lengai.